The Role of Histone Protein Modifications and Mutations in Histone Modifiers in Pediatric B-Cell Progenitor Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia
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Abstract: While cancer has been long recognized as a disease of the genome, the importance of epigenetic mechanisms in neoplasia was acknowledged more recently. The most active epigenetic marks are DNA methylation and histone protein modifications and they are involved in basic biological phenomena in every cell. Their role in tumorigenesis is stressed by recent unbiased large-scale studies providing evidence that several epigenetic modifiers are recurrently mutated or frequently dysregulated in multiple cancers. The interest in epigenetic marks is especially due to the fact that they are potentially reversible and thus druggable. In B-cell progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL) there is a relative paucity of reports on the role of histone protein modifications (acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation) as compared to acute myeloid leukemia, T-cell ALL, or other hematologic cancers, and in this setting chromatin modifications are relatively less well studied and reviewed than DNA methylation. In this paper, we discuss the biomarker associations and evidence for a driver role of dysregulated global and loci-specific histone marks, as well as mutations in epigenetic modifiers in BCP-ALL. Examples of chromatin modifiers recurrently mutated/disrupted in BCP-ALL and associated with disease outcomes include MLL1, CREBBP, NSD2, and SETD2. Altered histone marks and histone modifiers and readers may play a particular role in disease chemoresistance and relapse. We also suggest that epigenetic regulation of B-cell differentiation may have parallel roles in leukemogenesis.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Children

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is the most common malignancy of childhood, and the majority of cases are classified as B-cell progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL). With current therapeutics, the cure rates exceed 80% but the treatment of relapsed or drug-resistant...
disease, and some molecular subtypes, remains challenging. With this high survival, there is little room for further improvement of outcomes based on escalation of the treatment intensity without unacceptable toxicity. Rather, the current effort is aimed at proper patient stratification and defining targetable genetic lesions that would allow for personalized therapy [1–7]. Here, we discuss the data on altered histone marks in B-cell progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children. Studying histone marks may not only help to understand BCP-ALL pathobiology but could identify prognostic biomarkers or provide rationale for novel therapeutic strategies. There are several agents, including FDA-approved drugs, aimed at dysregulated epigenetic states at different stages of development, and these can potentially enhance current therapeutic programs, as shown in preclinical models [8–11]. The aim of this review is to promote research into chromatin modification in BCP-ALL to facilitate future therapeutic interventions. It is not clear whether the apparent discrepancy between ALL, with relatively few data on histone modifiers, and acute myeloid leukemia (AML), in which most patients are reported to have mutations in epigenetic modifiers including in particular DNA methyltransferase 3A (DNMT3A), TET2 methylcytosine hydroxylase (Ten-Elevan-Translocation-2) or MLL1 (mixed lineage leukemia 1), is truly related to disease biology or a result of study bias [12–14]. The fact that a large number of studies used cytosine methylation profiling to classify BCP-ALL with prognostic significance is likely related to the relative ease of DNA methylation studying as compared to histone modifications [15–24]. There also appear to be more reports on the role of histone modifications and histone-modifying or chromatin-readers genes in T-cell ALL (with prominent reports on DNMT3A, TET1, EZH2 (enhancer of zeste 2 polycomb repressive complex 2), SUZ12 (SUZ12 polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit), MLL2, SETD2 (SET domain containing 2), PHF6 (PHD finger protein 6) and BRD4 (bromodomain containing 4)), despite its relatively low frequency, than in BCP-ALL, and there is little overlap between the T-cell ALL and BCP-ALL data [25–30].

1.2. Histone Modifications

The traditional view of histones was that they are highly conserved proteins that provide the packaging of our genome. Now it is recognized that histone proteins have crucial roles in the interaction between effector proteins and DNA, and are themselves regulated by a number of modifications imposed by specialized sets of proteins creating an intricate interplay [31–33]. Some of these signatures appear relatively stable, others appear highly dynamic or might be subject to microenvironmental metabolic influences [32–35]. The number of recognized posttranslational, covalent histone protein modification is constantly growing. It is now apparent that they are involved in all basic cellular phenomena and in particular gene expression regulation, replication, and DNA repair [33,34]. Despite the introduction of high-throughput, genome-wide profiling methods combining chromatin immunoprecipitation with next-generation sequencing (ChIP-Seq) the data on the significance of such newly recognized marks in human diseases lag behind biochemical data from model organisms, though this is partly due to the relatively large quantities of input material required. The global and loci-specific level of each histone modification is the net result of the action of enzymes that can introduce the particular covalent modification ("writers") or remove the mark ("erasers"), some of which also have non-histone targets. Apart from genomic location, the biological consequences of the histone marks are related to the action of proteins that interact with modified histones ("readers") or recruit further molecules. The term “histone crosstalk” relates to the combinatorial, interdependent, and context-dependent effects of various histone modifications on the state and interpretation of other histone modifications [31–34,36–38]. While it was long known that several cancers are associated with dysregulated levels of several histone modifications, more recent, unbiased genome-wide studies reported that genes encoding chromatin modifiers and readers are among the most frequently mutated genes in cancer, providing strong evidence for their role in tumorigenesis [9,39–45].

In this review, we focus on three important and extensively described histone protein modifications: histone lysine acetylation, histone lysine methylation, and histone phosphorylation. For each of these major marks we discuss, if available in the literature, the correlative data related
to their global or loci-specific levels; important data from pre-clinical models; and evidence of
dysregulation of their writers, erasers, and readers in BCP-ALL. The data on other chromatin marks in
BCP-ALL are very scarce as are data on their combinatorial effects (histone crosstalk).

1.3. Histone Lysine Acetylation

The N-acetylation of lysine residues in histone proteins may be considered the most important,
or at least most extensively documented, of all histone marks. Histone acetylation is involved in gene
transcription, chromatin structure, and DNA repair, which are basic cellular phenomena in physiology
and in cancer [33,34,43,46]. Histone acetylation is the net result of the activities of histone lysine
acetyltransferases (KATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) [33,36,38].

1.3.1. Mutations/Rearrangements in Genes Involved in Histone Lysine Acetylation

CREBBP is a histone acetyltransferase that can acetylate various residues in several histones,
and in particular H3K18 [33,38]. Recent unbiased, large-scale studies identify recurrent mutations in
CREBBP in multiple cancers including bladder [42], salivary gland [47], esophageal [41], small-cell
and non-small cell lung cancers [39,48], medulloblastoma [45], and lymphoid neoplasia [49–62]. In the
setting of BCP-ALL CREBBP mutations (within the Histone acetyltransferases (HAT) domain) or
deletions were shown to be very common in relapsed cases (18.3% of patients). These lesions were
both acquired at relapse or already present at diagnosis, sometimes in subclones, suggesting a role
in resistance to chemotherapy. Functional experiments suggested this is due to loss of HAT activity
and transcriptional dysregulation [50]. Similar frequency of CREBBP gene mutations in relapsed
cases was reported in a study by Mar et al. [60], and further studies demonstrated that CREBBP
mutation are particularly prevalent in high hyperdiploid ALL [55,57,59]. However, despite the genetic
evidence, we are far from understanding the role of CREBBP in tumorigenesis considering relatively
low incidence of lymphoid neoplasia in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome that is caused by CREBBP gene
germline defects, and animal models of CREBBP loss that demonstrate hematologic abnormalities but
not leukemia [63].

The role of CREBBP homolog, EP300, is less well established [50] however EP300-ZNF384 was
recently reported as a recurrent gene fusion in BCP-ALL [64].

The role of CREBBP and EP300 in BCP-ALL and in lymphoid neoplasia is further complicated
by the fact that they both contain bromodomains that recognize and bind to acetylated histones,
thus also functioning as chromatin “readers” and recruiting other proteins to chromatin [65]. Inhibitors
of CREBBP/EP300 bromodomains show promising pre-clinical activity in models of leukemia
characterized by the presence of translocations leading to the presence of fusion proteins containing
such bromodomains [66,67]. Several inhibitors of other bromodomains also showed therapeutic
activity in BCP-ALL preclinical models, however it is difficult to conclude which mechanisms, and in
particular which bromodomain-chromatin interactions and downstream effects, are critical for the
effect. Nonetheless, these studies provide evidence for the potential of therapies targeting chromatin
complexes in BCP-ALL [68,69]. Surprisingly, we identified no studies that examined the levels of
CREBBP and other HATs expression in BCP-ALL.

1.3.2. Differential Expression of Genes Involved in Histone Lysine Deacetylation

Several HDACs were demonstrated to be expressed in ALL at the higher levels than in normal
bone marrow cells (HDAC2, -3, -6, -7, -8) and HDAC7 and -9 expression above median was associated
with poor survival [70]. Another study reported overexpression of HDAC1, -2, -8 in ALL and that
HDAC1, -2, 4, -11 expression is associated with unfavourable prognostic factors including poor
dexamethasone response [71]. There is disappointingly little overlap between the studies, however it
should be pointed out that both B- and T-cell ALL samples were included and their transcriptional
profiles differ profoundly.
Important study by Sonnemann et al. [72] demonstrated that leukemic cells from ALL patients are characterized by increased histone deacetylase activity as compared to normal bone marrow cells using an enzymatic assay, which is a more direct and convincing proof of oncogenic hypoacetylation in cancer than association studies of HDACs expression. It would be interesting to see how this global HDAC activity level correlates with survival, or clinical/molecular data such as mutations in HAT genes, in a larger population of patients. In conclusion, the role for HDAC proteins in BCP-ALL is less well documented than that of some histone acetyltransferases. Various HDACs, Sir2 proteins (sirtuins) and histone acetyltransferases are differentially expressed in BCP-ALL molecular subtypes when pubically available microarray datasets are analysed, data not shown. Despite a relative lack of clinicopathological data on their relevance, there are numerous in vitro and xenograft studies on the role of HDAC inhibitors in ALL, recently reviewed by Mummery et al. [73]. The most significant is the study of LBH589, a class I-II HDAC inhibitor, that demonstrated increased survival of human xenograft-implanted mice and synergy of LBH589 with vincristine and dexamethasone, accompanied by an increase in histone H3 and H4 acetylation in leukemic cells [74].

1.3.3. The Association of Global and Loci-Specific Levels of Histone Acetylation

A recent study from our group confirmed and extended previous finding from adult ALL patients that associated loss of global Lys-4,-8,-12,-16-histone H4 acetylation with poor outcomes in pediatric BCP-ALL. Additionally, we demonstrated that in BCP-ALL relatively preserved level of histone H4 acetylation is linked with the presence of ETV6-RUNX1 gene fusion, PAX5 deletions, and deletions in genes related B-cell differentiation [75–77]. A study by Bachmann et al. [78] demonstrated that loss of histone H3 acetylation (H3K9Ac) at BLM locus is associated with glucocorticoid resistance in xenograft models and in primary patient samples.

1.4. Histone Lysine Methylation

Histone lysine methylation is another of the major histone marks. Methylation of various lysine residues of histone proteins is the net result of the activities of histone lysine methylases and demethylases. Histone lysine methylation is mainly associated with chromatin state and transcriptional regulation [10,33,38].

There are no reports on the role of histone arginine methylation in BCP-ALL.

Mutations/Rearrangements in Genes Involved in Histone Lysine Methylation

Several histone methyltransferases are implicated in BCP-ALL pathogenesis, including MLL1. Mixed lineage leukemia (MLL1, recently known as KMT2A) translocations are found in around 70% of infant leukemia and 5% of BCP-ALL. MLL1 is a member of SET domain-containing histone lysine methyltransferases that also contain EZH2, NSD1, and SET17/9, which are also frequently disrupted in hematologic neoplasia [79–81]. In BCP-ALL t(11q23) MLL1 gene translocations (best described MLL1-AF4) are associated with high risk disease and are an established cytogenetic risk factor for a few decades [81,82]. MLL1/KMT2A is one of the most frequently mutated genes in cancer [83]. MLL1 is a H3K4 methyltransferase and this activity is dependent on its SET domain. H3K4 methylation is typically associated with transcriptional activation and euchromatin [33,38]. The role of MLL1 fusion oncoproteins in leukemogenesis is believed to be related to overexpression of target genes, such as HOXA homeobox gene cluster that are normally tightly regulated in hematopoietic progenitors, due to aberrant histone H3 methylation. However, it is not clear to what extent the role of MLL1 in leukemogenesis is related to its wild-type methyltransferase activity and what is the role of the particular fusion partners, of which more than 50 were characterized, especially that SET domain is frequently lost in resulting fusion proteins. It must be also noted that, similarly to CREBBP, MLL1 contains a bromodomain that provides a potential platform for interaction with various chromatin complexes [80,81]. This is further complicated by the fact that several MLL1 fusion partners interact with and recruit DOT1L methyltransferase, specific for H3K79 (which is also associated with
transcriptional activation). Whatever the exact mechanism, \textit{MLL1} lesions are believed to be associated with aberrant histone methylation and overexpression of target genes. Interestingly, more recent studies suggest that H3K79met patterns are more consistently associated with \textit{MLL1}-rearranged leukemia than H3K4met profiles, and DOT1L is essential for \textit{MLL1}-driven leukemogenesis as a member of \textit{MLL1}-associated multiprotein complexes. Importantly, DOT1L inhibitors may be selectively active against \textit{MLL1}-rearranged cells (including both AF9 and AF4 fusion partners) [79–81,84–88]. Several other approaches, apart from DOT1L inhibition, are surveyed in the setting of \textit{MLL1}-rearranged leukemia, and another member of the multiprotein complex associated with \textit{MLL1} that could be targeted in ALL is WD repeat 5 (WDR5), however this was not studied in pediatric populations or disease models [89]. A therapeutic potential and antileukemic activity was recently demonstrated in a study of the MM-401 compound targeting \textit{MLL1}/KMT2A H3K4 methyltransferase activity in mixed lineage leukemia, suggesting that wild type \textit{MLL1} is necessary for \textit{MLL1}-rearrangement driven leukemia [90]. As \textit{MLL1}-driven leukemia is also associated with aberrant DNA methylation patterns, another suggested therapeutic strategy is using hypomethylating agents [81]. Similarly, HDAC inhibitors are also evaluated in this setting based on the assumption that histone methylation pattern is read in the context of other chromatin marks [81].

Other histone methyltransferases implicated in BCP-ALL leukemagenesis and this include \textit{NSD2}, \textit{SETD2}, and \textit{EZH2}.

\textit{NSD2} (nuclear receptor-binding SET domain protein 2) histone lysine methyltransferase is responsible for mono- and dimethylation of H3K36. A specific E1099K mutation in \textit{NSD2} gene increased H3K36 dimethylation in several ALL cell lines and xenograft studies. Further, the E1099K variant was shown to be a frequent feature of BCP-ALL with \textit{ETV6-RUNX1} fusion gene [91]. The recurrent character of \textit{NSD2} gene mutations in BCP-ALL was confirmed by Huether et al. [44] and Oyer et al. [92]. H3K36 is normally unmethylated, but it remains to be established how this gain in methylation contributes to leukemogenesis. It was suggested that it might be due to global transcriptional dysregulation caused by concomitant decrease in H3K27me3 [92].

\textit{SETD2} is another H3K36 methyltransferase whose mutations are reported in BCP-ALL at a relatively high frequency (12% of the entire cohort). The frequency of \textit{SETD2} gene mutations is increased in \textit{MLL1}- and \textit{ETV6-RUNX1} rearranged cases and is also increased at relapse [60]. In AML \textit{SETD2} defects are associated with a global loss of H3K36 trimethylation, but this was not studied in BCP-ALL [93].

A recent study by Schafer et al. [94] found a relatively low frequency (1.3%) of mutation in another histone methyltransferase \textit{EZH2} in ALL, similar to low \textit{EZH2} mutation prevalence in ALL seen previously [50]. \textit{EZH2} gene mutations might be enriched in hypodiploid ALL [95].

Histone lysine demethylases have been strongly implicated in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia [30,96], but there is a lack of studies reporting on their alterations in BCP-ALL.

We identified no studies involving pediatric BCP-ALL patients documenting differential expression of genes involved in histone lysine methylation, the associations of global levels of histone methylation, or the associations of loci-specific patterns of histone methylation. However, interestingly, in an in vitro model, loss of IKAROS (IKZF1), that is one of the main drivers of high-risk leukemia and whose activity restoration is attempted in preclinical models, was associated with decreased level of global H3K4 methylation [97,98].

\subsection*{1.5. Histone Phosphorylation}

Histone phosphorylation plays a major regulatory role in transcription, chromatin condensation, mitosis, apoptosis, and DNA replication. This is a highly dynamic chromatin modification controlled by several protein kinases and phosphatases [10,33,38].

Histone Phosphorylation in BCP-ALL

Aberrant phosphorylation of several histone proteins and mutations in genes encoding for proteins involved in histone phosphorylation are reported in multiple cancers [10]. There is a lack
of such reports in the setting of acute lymphoblastic leukemia, which may represent true biological features of the disease or be related to the fact that ALL is relatively understudied. Still, it is highly likely that other histone marks are “read” in the context of phosphorylation of residues in the vicinity and the so far scarce data on histone phosphorylation in BCP-ALL are necessary to fully interpret other chromatin marks. The notable exception is Janus kinase (JAK2, JAK3), which is a site of recurrent rearrangements in ALL that are of biological and clinical significance [99,100]. JAK2 was recently reported to be able to phosphorylate histone H3 at tyrosine 41 (H3Y41), which leads to dissociation of some effector proteins from chromatin, and global H3Y41 levels are elevated in cell lines with constitutively active JAK2 [101]. To the best of our knowledge, this role of JAK2 was not studied in the setting of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Importantly JAK proteins can be effectively targeted with ruxolitinib [100,102] and in preclinical models with HSP90 (heat shock protein 90 kDa) inhibitors [103]. Other than that, we are not aware of studies reporting on mutations/rearrangements or differential expression in genes involved in histone phosphorylation, nor on the associations of global levels or loci-specific levels of histone phosphorylation. Similarly, several further histone marks are known and were shown to play role in basic cellular phenomena but no data on their role in BCP-ALL exists.

1.6. Histone Gene Disruption in BCP-ALL

Histone genes themselves may be targets in tumorigenesis. Mullighan et al. [95] demonstrated 8.1% frequency of 6p22 deletions in a histone cluster region in BCP-ALL. This deletion may be enriched in cases with Down syndrome and hypodiploidy. Loudin et al. [104] reported deletion in a histone gene cluster at 6p22 in 22% of Down-syndrome ALL patients, and in 3.1% patients without Down syndrome, and gene expression analysis confirmed lower expression of several histone genes in cases with homozygous deletions. The same study reports a few likely functional histone genes mutations in the Down-syndrome patients without those 6p22 deletions. More recently, Holmfeldt [105] reported a relatively high frequency of histone cluster deletion (19.1%) in hypodiploid ALL. There are no data on the prognostic significance of these alterations.

1.7. The Evidence for the Role of Histone Modification in B-Cell Differentiation

Another reason to expect a role for histone marks in BCP-ALL leukemagenesis is their involvement in normal B-cell development, as corrupted developmental regulation frequently plays a role in cancer, including leukemia [106–109]. Apart from the fact that various epigenetic events likely regulate all stages of B-cell progenitor development [110], in the context of B-cell differentiation histone marks were particularly implicated in the regulation of V(D)J rearrangement at immunoglobulin loci. It was demonstrated that RAG (recombination-activating genes) recombinases specifically recognize H3K4me3, and loss of this recognition in laboratory models or in patients with immunodeficiency is related to severely impaired V(D)J recombination [111–115]. The activity and localization of recombination complexes is also regulated by histone acetylation [116–118]. Aberrant RAG recombinase activity was recently strongly implicated in BCP-ALL leukemogenesis in several reports [119–121] and it might be expected that aberrant histone methylation and acetylation marks play a role in illegitimate or excessive recombination.

2. Conclusions

Recent large unbiased studies provided strong evidence for the role of factors involved in histone modifications in B-cell progenitor leukemogenesis, and their association with chemoresistance and relapse. Table 1 summarizes the evidence on the recurrent character of the lesions in genes encoding histone mark writers and erasers, whereas Figure 1 summarizes the data presented here on aberrant histone marks and related mutations in chromatin modifiers. Still, the area appears relatively understudied when compared to other cancers, including hematologic neoplasia. This gap must be filled to enable novel and targeted therapeutic interventions. Drugs targeting histone modifiers and readers are entering clinical trials (completed or active clinical trials of such agents in pediatric
BCP-ALL are presented in Table 2, and are expected to play a role in multidrug regimens combined with ‘traditional’ cytotoxics. Their use may prove beneficial in unselected BCP-ALL cases irrespective of molecular build-up but we hope that their future use will be guided by data on genomic and epigenomic lesions in a particular patient to achieve maximal clinical benefit with minimal toxicities.

**Table 1.** Mutations/rearrangements of histone writers and erasers in B-cell progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (Reference)</th>
<th>Histone-Modifying Function</th>
<th>Frequency of Mutations/Rearrangements in BCP-ALL</th>
<th>Subgroups Enriched</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CREBBP</strong> [50,51,53,55,57]</td>
<td>H3K18 acetyltransferase (and other H3/H4 residues)</td>
<td>Rare in cases without hyperdiploidy and relapse</td>
<td>Relapse, hyperdiploidy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EP300</strong> [50,55]</td>
<td>H3K18 acetyltransferase (and other H3/H4 residues)</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MLL</strong> [3,5,6,82,122,123]</td>
<td>H3K4 methyltransferase</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NSD2</strong> [44,91]</td>
<td>H3K36 methyltransferase</td>
<td>Not documented in unselected patients, up to 14% in subgroups</td>
<td><strong>ETV6-RUNX1</strong>-rearranged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SETD2</strong> [44,60,93,124]</td>
<td>H3K36 methyltransferase</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td><strong>MLL</strong>- and <strong>ETV6-RUNX1</strong>-rearrangements, relapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EZH2</strong> [44,55,94]</td>
<td>H3K4 methyltransferase</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>hypodiploidy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JAK2</strong> [99,100,125,126]</td>
<td>H3Y41 phosphorylase</td>
<td>Not determined in unselected patients, up to 10% in high risk disease</td>
<td><strong>BCR-ABL1</strong>-like, <strong>Down syndrome</strong>, high-risk disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Active and completed clinical trials of drugs potentially targeting histone mark writers and erasers in pediatric BCP-ALL (as accessed on 25 October 2016, at www.clinicaltrials.gov).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Identifier</th>
<th>Start Year</th>
<th>Drug Targeting (or Potentially Targeting) Histone Modifications</th>
<th>ALL Population</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT00053963</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td><strong>FR901228</strong> (HDACi)</td>
<td>Refractory disease (0–21 years)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00217412</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td><strong>Vorinostat</strong> (HDACi)</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory disease (1–21 years)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00882206</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td><strong>Vorinostat</strong> (HDACi)</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory disease (2–60 years)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01251965</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td><strong>Ruxolitinib</strong> (JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory disease (14 years or older)</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01321346</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td><strong>Panobinostat</strong> (HDACi)</td>
<td>Refractory disease (8–21 years)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01241828</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td><strong>EPZ-5676</strong> (DOT1L blocker)</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory disease (0–18 years) <strong>MLL</strong>-rearranged</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02419755</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td><strong>Vorinostat</strong> (HDACi)</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory disease (0–21 years) <strong>MLL</strong>-rearranged</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02420717</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td><strong>Ruxolitinib</strong> (JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Ph-like (10 years or older)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02723994</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td><strong>Ruxolitinib</strong> (JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>CRLF2-rearranged and/or <strong>JAK Pathway-mutant</strong> (1–21 years)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>recruiting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The summary of the published data on aberrant histone marks and mutations in chromatin modifiers in BCP-ALL.
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