

1 **Appetite and gut hormone responses to moderate-intensity continuous exercise versus high-**  
2 **intensity interval exercise, in normoxic and hypoxic conditions**

3

4 Daniel P Bailey<sup>a</sup>, Lindsey R Smith<sup>a,1</sup>, Bryna C Christmas<sup>a</sup>, Lee Taylor<sup>a</sup>, David J Stensel<sup>b</sup>, Kevin  
5 Deighton<sup>b,2</sup>, Jessica Douglas<sup>b</sup>, Catherine J Kerr<sup>c</sup>.

6

7 **Author affiliations**

8 <sup>a</sup>Institute for Sport and Physical Activity Research, Department of Sport Science and Physical Activity,  
9 University of Bedfordshire, Polhill Avenue, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK41 9EA.

10 <sup>b</sup>School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Ashby Road,  
11 Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, UK.

12 <sup>c</sup>Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes  
13 University, Gypsy Lane, Oxford, OX3 OBP.

14

15 **Corresponding author:** Dr. Daniel Bailey, Institute for Sport and Physical Activity Research,  
16 Department of Sport Science and Physical Activity, University of Bedfordshire, Polhill Avenue,  
17 Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK41 9EA. Phone: +441234 793237, email: [daniel.bailey@beds.ac.uk](mailto:daniel.bailey@beds.ac.uk).

18

19 **Conflict of interest:** none

20

21 **Manuscript word count:** 5,647

22

23 **Funding source:** University of Bedfordshire Research Investment Programme. The funding body had  
24 no involvement in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing  
25 of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

---

<sup>1</sup> Present address: Department of Life Sciences, College of Life and Natural Science, University of Derby, Kedleston Road, Derby, DE22 1GB.

<sup>2</sup> Present address: School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Headingley Campus, Leeds, LS6 3QS, UK.

## 26 **Abstract**

27 This study investigated the effects of continuous moderate-intensity exercise (MIE) and high-  
28 intensity interval exercise (HIIE) in combination with short exposure to hypoxia on appetite and  
29 plasma concentrations of acylated ghrelin, peptide YY (PYY), and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1).  
30 Twelve healthy males completed four, 2.6 h trials in a random order: 1) MIE-normoxia, 2) MIE-  
31 hypoxia, 3) HIIE-normoxia, and 4) HIIE-hypoxia. Exercise took place in an environmental chamber.  
32 During MIE, participants ran for 50 min at 70% of altitude-specific maximal oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ )  
33 and during HIIE performed 6 x 3 min running at 90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  interspersed with 6 x 3 min active  
34 recovery at 50%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  with a 7 min warm-up and cool-down at 70%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  (50 min total). In  
35 hypoxic trials, exercise was performed at a simulated altitude of 2,980 m (14.5% O<sub>2</sub>). Exercise was  
36 completed after a standardised breakfast. A second meal standardised to 30% of participants' daily  
37 energy requirements was provided 45 min after exercise. Appetite was suppressed more in hypoxia  
38 than normoxia during exercise, post-exercise, and for the full 2.6 h trial period (linear mixed  
39 modelling,  $p < 0.05$ ). Plasma acylated ghrelin concentrations were lower in hypoxia than normoxia  
40 post-exercise and for the full 2.6 h trial period ( $p < 0.05$ ). PYY concentrations were higher in HIIE than  
41 MIE under hypoxic conditions during exercise ( $p = 0.042$ ). No differences in GLP-1 were observed  
42 between conditions ( $p > 0.05$ ). These findings demonstrate that short exposure to hypoxia causes  
43 suppressions in appetite and plasma acylated ghrelin concentrations. Furthermore, appetite  
44 responses to exercise do not appear to be influenced by exercise modality.

45

## 46 **Keywords**

47 Hypoxia; high altitude anorexia; high-intensity exercise; appetite-regulating hormones; acylated  
48 ghrelin

49

## 50 **Highlights**

- 51 • Effects of exercise modalities and hypoxia on appetite are explored

- 52 • Short exposure to hypoxia causes appetite suppressions
- 53 • Appetite responses to exercise are not dependant on exercise modality
- 54 • Suppressed appetite may be explained by decreased circulating acylated ghrelin

55

56 **Abbreviations**

57 PYY, peptide YY; HIIE, high-intensity interval exercise; MIE, moderate-intensity exercise; GLP-1,  
58 glucagon-like peptide-1;  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , maximum oxygen uptake; PFC, prospective food consumption; AUC,  
59 area under the curve.

## 60 Introduction

61 The current obesity epidemic is a major concern since excess weight is associated with morbidity  
62 and premature mortality [5,8]. Exercise can play an important role in weight management as it may  
63 improve the comorbidities of obesity [37] and contribute to a negative energy balance by increasing  
64 energy expenditure [9]. Individuals do not tend to compensate for the energy expended during  
65 exercise in the immediate hours after by altering food intake and such energy deficits could be  
66 important for weight management if repeated over long periods of time [40]. Increasing exercise  
67 intensity may increase energy expenditure and evidence suggests high-intensity exercise produces  
68 greater short term reductions in appetite compared to moderate-intensity exercise [13,29].

69 One form of exercise training that is receiving more attention in health-enhancing  
70 research is high-intensity interval exercise (HIIE), which may reduce cardiometabolic disease risk [28]  
71 and promote similar or even superior physiological adaptations compared to traditional endurance-  
72 based training [20]. All-out sprint interval exercise may acutely suppress appetite more than  
73 continuous moderate-intensity exercise (MIE) [13], but this form of supramaximal exercise may not  
74 be safe, tolerable, or practical for many individuals [13,20]. Submaximal HIIE may thus be preferred  
75 and recent evidence suggests this form of interval exercise may also acutely suppress appetite and  
76 increase the satiating gut hormone, peptide YY (PYY), more than an energy-matched continuous  
77 bout of MIE [14]. Bartlett et al. [4] observed higher levels of enjoyment during a high-volume HIIE  
78 protocol that involved 3 min intervals at 90% of maximum oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) compared to a  
79 continuous MIE session matched for average intensity (70%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ). It would be of interest to  
80 explore whether this interval exercise protocol suppresses appetite and affects gut hormone  
81 concentrations more than continuous MIE.

82 A loss of appetite, termed “high altitude anorexia”, is often apparent when individuals are  
83 exposed to high altitude (> 2,500 m) [26]. Reduced energy intake and weight loss are observed in  
84 both normobaric and hypobaric hypoxia and studies using hypobaric chambers suggest it is hypoxia,  
85 per se, that causes this altitude-related loss of appetite [50]. The role of appetite-regulating

86 hormones in high-altitude anorexia is unclear. The acute and chronic effect of hypoxia on leptin; a  
87 hormone released from white adipose tissue that reduces food intake and modulates adiposity; is  
88 controversial [12,27,42]. Acute suppression of appetite and acylated ghrelin (the post-translationally  
89 modified form of this gut peptide essential for its appetite-stimulatory effects) was observed during  
90 7 h exposure to normobaric hypoxia, while PYY tended to be higher than in normoxic conditions  
91 [48]. The response of the satiating gut hormone, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), to hypoxia has only  
92 been investigated in one previous study that showed a trend towards increased concentrations  
93 following overnight hypoxic exposure [42]. The effect of short exposure to hypoxia (i.e.  $\leq 1$  h) on  
94 appetite and appetite-related hormones has not been studied, nor has the effect of different  
95 exercise modalities performed in hypoxia.

96 This study therefore investigated the effects of continuous MIE versus HIIE in combination  
97 with short exposure to hypoxia on appetite and plasma concentrations of acylated ghrelin, PYY, and  
98 GLP-1.

99

## 100 **Methods**

### 101 *Participants*

102 Following approval from the University of Bedfordshire ethics review board, 12 physically active ( $\geq$   
103 150 min/wk of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity) and apparently healthy normal-weight men  
104 (mean  $\pm$  SD; age,  $21.6 \pm 2.0$  years; body mass index,  $23.5 \pm 2.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) gave written informed  
105 consent to participate in the study following a verbal and written explanation of the nature and risks  
106 involved. Participants were non-smokers, normotensive, not taking any medications, and had no  
107 known history of cardiometabolic disease.

108

### 109 *Preliminary tests*

110 Participants attended the University of Bedfordshire Sport and Exercise Science laboratories for  
111 preliminary tests to attain anthropometric measures (height and body mass) and determine  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ .

112 Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (Horlmain Ltd, Crymych, UK) and  
113 body mass to the nearest 0.1 kg using electronic weighing scales (Tanita BWB-800, Tanita Corp.,  
114 Tokyo, Japan).

115

#### 116 *Maximum oxygen uptake*

117  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  was assessed under two blinded conditions: normoxia and hypoxia. Both conditions were  
118 generated by a custom built environmental chamber (T.I.S. Services, Hampshire, UK) regulated by a  
119 microprocessor control. In addition to the chamber control panel display readings, all environmental  
120 conditions were monitored and checked by independent calibrated instruments: temperature and  
121 humidity via a Testo 625 hygrometer and oxygen levels via a Kane 250 Gas Meter. Humidity and  
122 temperature were controlled at 40% relative humidity and 18°C, respectively. Hypoxic conditions  
123 represented a simulated altitude of 2,980 m (14.5% O<sub>2</sub>). In both conditions an incremental exercise  
124 test was performed on a motorised treadmill (Woodway PPS55 Med-i, GmbH, Germany) with a 0%  
125 gradient. Oxygen uptake was measured continuously during exercise using an online gas analysis  
126 system (Cortex Metalyzer 3B, GmbH, Germany). The gas analyser used was daily volume- and gas-  
127 calibrated and corrected for barometric pressure, temperature, and humidity. Following  
128 familiarisation, participants were asked to warm up for 5 min at a velocity they felt they could  
129 comfortably maintain for 30 min. The participants then began the test with a 2 min stage at this  
130 speed. The speed was then increased by 1 km/h every 2 min until volitional exhaustion.  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  was  
131 taken as the highest  $\dot{V}O_2$  value averaged over a 10 sec period. Criteria used to confirm a true  
132 maximum value included two or more of the following: 1) heart rate within 10 bpm of age predicted  
133 maximum, 2) respiratory exchange ratio > 1.15, 3) plateau of  $\dot{V}O_2$  despite increasing workload, and  
134 4) rating of perceived exertion  $\geq$  18 on the Borg scale [6].  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  was significantly higher in normoxia  
135 compared to hypoxia ( $56.0 \pm 7.8$  vs.  $44.0 \pm 5.8$  mL/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively,  $p < 0.001$ ).

136

137

138 *Main trials*

139 This was a randomised four-way cross-over design study. Participants completed four trials  
140 separated by  $\geq 7$  days: 1) MIE-normoxia, 2) MIE-hypoxia, 3) HIIE-normoxia, and 4) HIIE-hypoxia. The  
141 environmental condition of each trial (normoxic versus hypoxic) was single blinded. Fig. 1 shows the  
142 trial protocol. Participants weighed and recorded food intake for 24 h before the first main trial and  
143 were asked to replicate the quantity and timings of eating prior to each subsequent testing day and  
144 to refrain from alcohol and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during this time.

145

146 Figure 1 about here.

147

148 Participants arrived at the laboratory between 7am and 8am having fasted for a minimum of 9 h  
149 overnight and were weighed in light clothing and no footwear. A breakfast meal was then consumed  
150 followed by a 1.75 h rest period. Exercise bouts then commenced at 0 h and participants were  
151 informed of the exercise session (MIE or HIIE) that they would be performing upon entering the  
152 chamber. The environmental condition remained blinded to the participant during all trials. The  
153 chamber replicated those conditions outlined above for the normoxic and hypoxic conditions,  
154 respectively. Exercise was performed for 50 min in the environmental chamber with participants  
155 seated in a normal laboratory testing room for the remainder of each trial. During MIE, participants  
156 ran for 50 min at a speed predicted to elicit 70%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ . HIIE consisted of 6 x 3 min bouts at a  
157 running velocity corresponding to 90%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  interspersed with 6 x 3 min bouts of active recovery  
158 at a velocity corresponding to 50%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , and was preceded by a 7 min warm-up and followed by a  
159 7 min cool-down at a velocity of 70%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ . This protocol thus consisted of 36 min interval exercise  
160 and total exercise duration of 50 min. These protocols were selected based on a comparative study  
161 in recreationally active males that reported greater levels of perceived enjoyment following HIIE,  
162 similar energy expenditure ( $811 \pm 83$  and  $832 \pm 136$  kcal for the HIIE and MIE protocols,  
163 respectively), and were matched for an average intensity of 70%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  [4]. As such, the same

164 duration and mean intensity of exercise was used in both exercise conditions but with alternating  
165 high and low intensity bouts in the HIIE trials.

166

#### 167 *Standardised meals*

168 On arrival, a standardised breakfast was provided to each participant following collection of fasted  
169 blood samples. The breakfast consisted of cornflakes and semi-skimmed milk and was consumed  
170 within 15 min. The macronutrient content of this meal was 78% carbohydrate, 16% protein, and 6%  
171 fat. The breakfast provided 20% of the estimated sedentary daily energy needs for each individual  
172 (mean energy content  $494 \pm 27$  kcal). Resting daily energy requirements were calculated [33] and  
173 this value multiplied by 1.4 to represent a sedentary day. An instant pasta lunch meal was consumed  
174 at 1.6 h (i.e. 45 min post-exercise), which provided 30% of the daily energy requirements for each  
175 individual (mean energy content  $741 \pm 40$  kcal). Macronutrient content was 74.5% carbohydrate,  
176 21% protein, and 4.5% fat. Water was available *ad libitum* throughout trials.

177

#### 178 *Ratings of perceived appetite and nausea*

179 During each trial subjective feelings of hunger (“How hungry do you feel”), satisfaction (“How  
180 satisfied do you feel”), fullness (“How full do you feel”), and prospective food consumption (PFC;  
181 “How much do you think you can eat”) were reported on paper using a validated 100-mm visual  
182 analogue scale (VAS) [19]. Appetite perceptions were measured at baseline (-2 h), immediately after  
183 breakfast (-1.75 h), immediately before exercise (0 h), mid-exercise (0.4 h), immediately post-  
184 exercise (0.8 h), immediately before lunch (1.6 h), immediately post-lunch (1.8 h), and 30 and 60 min  
185 (2.1 and 2.6 h, respectively) following the first mouthful of the lunch meal. A subjective rating of  
186 nausea (“Not at all nauseous” to “Very nauseous”) was also taken at each of these time points using  
187 a 100-mm VAS scale. An overall appetite rating was calculated as the mean value of the four  
188 appetite perceptions after inverting the values for satisfaction and fullness [43].

189



190 *Blood sampling*

191 During each main trial, blood samples were collected via venepuncture (VACUETTE®, Greiner Bio-  
192 One, Austria) from an antecubital vein whilst participants were in a semi-supine position. A fasting  
193 venous sample was taken upon arrival at the laboratory followed by samples immediately before  
194 exercise (0 h), immediately post-exercise (0.8 h), immediately before lunch (1.6 h), and 30 and 60  
195 min (2.1 and 2.6 h, respectively) following the first mouthful of the lunch meal. Samples were  
196 collected into two pre-cooled 4.9-mL EDTA vacuettes (VACUETTE®, Greiner Bio-One, Austria). One  
197 vacuette was immediately centrifuged at 1,500 x g for 10 min at a temperature of 4°C (Heraeus  
198 Multifuge X3R, Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK). The plasma supernatant was then dispensed  
199 into separate 2-mL cryovials and stored at -80°C until later analysis of glucose, insulin, total PYY, and  
200 total GLP-1 concentrations. From each sample, duplicate 20-µL blood samples were collected into  
201 heparinised microhaematocrit tubes for determination of haematocrit and a 10-µL sample into a  
202 microcuvette for determination of haemoglobin concentration to enable an estimation of plasma  
203 volume changes [16]. To prevent the degradation of acylated ghrelin, a 50-µL solution containing  
204 potassium phosphate buffer, p-hydroxymercuribenzoic acid, and sodium hydroxide was added to  
205 one 4.9-mL EDTA vacuette, which was then centrifuged at 1,500 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The plasma  
206 supernatant was then dispensed into a storage tube and 100-µL of 1 M hydrochloric acid was added  
207 per mL of plasma to preserve acylated ghrelin [24]. Thereafter, samples were spun at 1500 x g for 5  
208 min at 4°C prior to storage in 2-mL cryovials at -80°C until analysis.

209

210 *Blood biochemistry*

211 Commercially available enzyme immunoassays were used to determine plasma concentrations of  
212 acylated ghrelin (SPI BIO, Montigny le Bretonneux, France), total PYY (Millipore, Watford, UK), total  
213 GLP-1 (Millipore, Watford, UK) and insulin (Merckodia, Uppsala, Sweden). Plasma glucose  
214 concentrations were determined by enzymatic, colorimetric methods using a bench top analyser  
215 (Pentra 400, HORIBA ABX Diagnostics, Montpellier, France). To eliminate interassay variation,

216 samples from each participant were analysed in the same run. The within batch coefficients of  
217 variation for the assays were as follows: acylated ghrelin, 4.5%; total PYY, 5.5%; GLP-1, 4.4%; insulin,  
218 2.9%; glucose, 0.8%.

219

#### 220 *Statistical analysis*

221 Analyses were completed using the statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics version 19.0  
222 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and SigmaPlot version 12.3 (Systat Software Inc., CA, USA). Data are  
223 presented as mean (SE) in tables, text and figures. Correction of blood parameters for changes in  
224 plasma volume did not alter the interpretation of the results; therefore, for simplicity, the  
225 unadjusted values are presented. Standard graphical methods were preferred over null hypothesis  
226 significance testing to check statistical assumptions [22]. Prior to any inferential statistical analyses  
227 descriptive statistics tables were generated to check the central tendency (mean, median) and  
228 dispersion (standard deviation, minimum, maximum) of the data. Second, quantile-quantile (Q – Q)  
229 plots were used to check the normality assumption of the results obtained for each of the conditions  
230 across all trial periods. Where normality was deemed plausible, central tendency and dispersion  
231 were reported as the mean and standard error. The two-tailed alpha level for significance testing  
232 was set as  $p < 0.05$ .

233           Linear mixed models were chosen to determine if there were any differences in the  
234 dependent variables between the conditions across time. This type of analysis was preferred as it i)  
235 allows for missing data, ii) can accurately model different covariate structures for repeated  
236 measures data, and iii) can model between-subject variability [47,49]. Area under the curve (AUC)  
237 was calculated for all blood metabolite and appetite variables using the trapezoidal method for the  
238 total trial period (2.6 h), the period during exercise (0 to 0.8 h), and the post-exercise period (0.8 to  
239 2.6 h). Fixed and random factors for the linear mixed model were fit for each dependent variable  
240 and the main effects for 1) altitude (hypoxia vs. normoxia), and 2) exercise (HIIE vs. MIE), as well as  
241 interactions (altitude x exercise), were analysed by plotting the mean values. Step down Hommel

242 [23] adjusted post-hoc pair wise comparisons were calculated if a significant main effect and/or  
243 interaction effect was present. Analysis of serial measurements was also conducted using linear  
244 mixed models, for the main effects of 1) altitude (hypoxia vs. normoxia), 2) exercise (HIIE vs. MIE),  
245 and 3) time (serial measurements over 2.6 h), as well as interactions (condition x time). The most  
246 appropriate model was chosen using the smallest Hurvich and Tsai's criterion (AICC) in accordance  
247 with the principal of parsimony. Second, normality and homogeneity of variance of the residuals  
248 were checked using Q – Q plots and scatter plots, respectively, and deemed plausible in each  
249 instance. Pearson correlation was used to explore within-subject relationships between AUC values  
250 for appetite perceptions and gut hormones concentrations for combined hypoxic trials, normoxic  
251 trials, HIIE trials, MIE trials, and all trials combined for the 2.6 h trial period.

252           Based on previous data from Deighton et al. [13], a sample size of 12 participants was  
253 determined as sufficient to detect a 10% difference in appetite perceptions during the post-exercise  
254 period. This calculation was performed using G\*power with an alpha value of 5% and a power of  
255 80% [18].

256

## 257 **Results**

258 Table 1 about here

259

### 260 *Appetite perceptions*

261 There were no significant differences in any fasting appetite perception between trials ( $p > 0.05$ ).

262 Table 1 shows AUC values for each appetite perception for the combined hypoxia and normoxia

263 trials, and for the combined HIIE and MIE trials. Compared with normoxia, hunger AUC was

264 significantly lower during exercise (0 to 0.8 h;  $p < 0.001$ ), post-exercise (0.8 to 2.6 h;  $p = 0.003$ ), and

265 for the total 2.6 h trial period (0 to 2.6 h;  $p < 0.001$ ) in hypoxia. Satisfaction AUC was significantly

266 higher during exercise ( $p = 0.010$ ), post-exercise ( $p < 0.001$ ), and for the total 2.6 h trial period ( $p <$

267 0.001) in hypoxia compared to normoxia. The analysis of serial measurements confirmed the

268 findings of the AUC analysis by demonstrating a main effect of altitude for hunger ( $p = 0.049$ ) and  
269 satisfaction ( $p = 0.025$ ), respectively.

270 Fullness AUC was significantly higher post-exercise ( $p = 0.030$ ) and for the total 2.6 h trial  
271 period ( $p = 0.016$ ) in hypoxia compared with normoxia, and this difference was approaching  
272 significance for the exercise time period ( $p = 0.056$ ). The main effect of altitude in the serial  
273 measurements analysis for fullness was approaching significance ( $p = 0.061$ ). AUC values for PFC  
274 were significantly lower in hypoxia compared with normoxia during exercise ( $p < 0.001$ ), post-  
275 exercise ( $p = 0.002$ ), and for the full trial period ( $p < 0.001$ ). Overall appetite AUC was also  
276 significantly lower during exercise ( $p < 0.001$ ) and for the full 2.6 h trial period ( $p = 0.001$ ) in hypoxia  
277 compared with normoxia, and was approaching significance for the post-exercise period ( $p = 0.051$ ).  
278 These findings were confirmed in the serial measurements analysis with a main effect of altitude on  
279 PFC ( $p = 0.014$ ) and overall appetite ( $p = <0.001$ ). There were no significant differences for any  
280 appetite perception between HIIE and MIE conditions. Perceived appetite responses over time for  
281 each trial are shown in Fig. 2.

282 Feelings of nausea did not differ significantly between hypoxic and normoxic trials or  
283 between HIIE and MIE trials in the exercise, post-exercise, or full 2.6 h trial periods ( $p > 0.05$ ). There  
284 were also no altitude x exercise interaction effects for any trial time period ( $p > 0.05$ ). Differences in  
285 appetite perceptions between trials were thus unlikely due to nausea sensations.

286

287 Figure 2 about here.

288

289 Figure 3 about here.

290

### 291 *Gut hormone concentrations*

292 Fasting plasma acylated ghrelin ( $p = 0.402$ ), PYY ( $p = 0.959$ ), and GLP-1 concentrations ( $p = 0.815$ ) did  
293 not differ at baseline between the trials. Table 2 shows AUC values for gut hormone concentrations

294 for the combined hypoxia and normoxia trials, and for the combined HIIE and MIE trials. Compared  
295 with normoxia, acylated ghrelin AUC was significantly lower in hypoxia during the post-exercise ( $p =$   
296 0.020) and total 2.6 h ( $p = 0.035$ ) time periods. Acylated ghrelin AUC did not differ significantly  
297 between HIIE and MIE for any time period. Analysis of serial measurements revealed that the main  
298 effect of altitude for acylated ghrelin was approaching significance ( $p = 0.065$ ). There were no  
299 significant interaction effects for altitude x exercise for acylated ghrelin in any of the analyses.

300         There were no significant main effects between altitude or exercise conditions for PYY AUC.  
301 However, there was a significant altitude x exercise interaction effect for PYY AUC in the exercise  
302 time period ( $p = 0.042$ ) with concentrations being significantly higher in HIIE than MIE ( $115 \pm 17$  and  
303  $98 \pm 12 \text{ pg/mL}^{-1}/0.83 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , respectively) under hypoxic conditions ( $p = 0.042$ ). The altitude x exercise  
304 interaction effect for PYY AUC was also approaching significance for the total 2.6 h time period ( $p =$   
305 0.076). The analysis of serial measurements confirmed the findings of the AUC analysis by  
306 demonstrating a significant altitude x exercise interaction effect ( $p = 0.015$ ) with PYY concentrations  
307 being significantly higher in HIIE than MIE ( $128 \pm 12$  and  $120 \pm 12 \text{ pg/mL}$ , respectively) under hypoxic  
308 conditions ( $p = 0.048$ ) in addition to revealing significantly higher values in hypoxia than normoxia  
309 ( $128 \pm 12$  and  $120 \pm 12 \text{ pg/mL}$ , respectively) during HIIE ( $p = 0.027$ ). There were no main or  
310 significant interaction effects for altitude or exercise conditions for GLP-1 concentrations. Gut  
311 hormone concentrations over time for each trial are shown in Fig. 3.

312

313 Table 2 about here.

314

### 315 *Glucose and insulin concentrations*

316 Plasma glucose and insulin AUC values for the combined hypoxia and normoxia trials, and combined  
317 HIIE and MIE trials, can be seen in Table 2. Fasting plasma glucose ( $p = 0.402$ ) and insulin ( $p = 0.895$ )  
318 concentrations did not differ at baseline between the trials. Glucose AUC was significantly lower in  
319 hypoxia than normoxia during the post-exercise period ( $p = 0.024$ ) and this was approaching

320 significance for the total 2.6 h trial period ( $p = 0.051$ ). Glucose AUC post-exercise was lower in MIE  
321 than HIIE and this was approaching significance ( $p = 0.076$ ). Analysis of serial measurements  
322 demonstrated a main effect of altitude and exercise with glucose concentrations being lower in  
323 hypoxia than normoxia ( $p = 0.041$ ) and lower in MIE than HIIE ( $p = 0.034$ ). Insulin AUC was lower in  
324 hypoxia than normoxia during exercise and the total 2.6 h trial period and this was approaching  
325 significance ( $p = 0.073$  and  $p = 0.067$ , respectively). There were no significant main effects for insulin  
326 in the serial measurements analysis. Plasma glucose and insulin concentrations over time for each  
327 trial are shown in Fig. 4.

328

329 Figure 4 about here.

330

### 331 *Correlations between appetite perceptions and appetite-regulating hormones*

332 Within-subject AUC correlations for the full 2.6 h trial period for all trials combined revealed a  
333 significant negative relationship between plasma acylated ghrelin and satisfaction ( $r = -0.403$ ,  $p =$   
334  $0.005$ ) and fullness ( $r = -0.497$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), and a significant positive relationship with PFC ( $r = 0.456$ ,  $p$   
335  $= 0.001$ ) and overall appetite ( $r = 0.428$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ). Acylated ghrelin was also significantly negatively  
336 related with fullness in the HIIE trials combined for the 2.6 h trial period ( $r = -0.593$ ,  $p = 0.042$ ). No  
337 significant correlations between plasma PYY and GLP-1 with appetite perceptions were observed in  
338 the analyses.

339

### 340 **Discussion**

341 This study investigated the effects of HIIE versus continuous MIE exercise combined with short  
342 exposure to hypoxia on appetite and gut hormone concentrations. Our novel data suggest that  
343 appetite perceptions and plasma acylated ghrelin may be suppressed in response to as little as 50  
344 min normobaric hypoxic exposure whilst performing exercise. Acute suppressions in the active form  
345 of ghrelin were observed previously during 7 h exposure to a simulated altitude of 4,000 m [48] and

346 these data suggest that this response in acylated ghrelin in the absence of cold and other stressors  
347 may be implicated in high altitude anorexia. The effect of hypoxia on ghrelin is in its early stages of  
348 research and the mechanisms responsible for hypoxia-induced suppressions of this hormone are  
349 thus unclear. Ghrelin is predominantly derived from the stomach [2] and crosses the blood-brain  
350 barrier to exert its appetite-stimulating effects in the food-regulating centre of the hypothalamus  
351 [3]. Ghrelin secreted from the stomach passes through the liver from the portal vein into the  
352 peripheral circulation [21]. Decreased oxygen saturation in hypoxia may result in compensatory  
353 reductions in splanchnic blood flow in an attempt to maintain oxygen delivery elsewhere in the body  
354 [52]. Given that the liver may be involved in the acylation of ghrelin [21], reduced blood flow to this  
355 organ could explain hypoxia-induced reductions in circulating concentrations of ghrelin in its  
356 acylated form. One study also observed reduced blood flow to the superior mesenteric artery, which  
357 supplies the intestine, in a fasted and postprandial state following 2 h exposure to a simulated  
358 altitude of 4,800 m [31], which might suggest impaired gut blood flow as a mechanistic explanation  
359 for high altitude anorexia. However, similar postprandial increases in arterial and venous blood flow  
360 in the gut at sea level and high altitude have been observed after a 3 day exposure to hypobaric  
361 hypoxia [25]. Appetite was also suppressed in the study by Kalson et al. [25], thus suggesting that  
362 high altitude anorexia after several days was not due to impaired gut blood flow. It is possible that  
363 changes in gut blood flow occur in response to acute hypoxia and contribute to suppressed acylated  
364 ghrelin concentrations and high altitude anorexia, while, in the longer term, different mechanisms  
365 are responsible [48].

366         It has been suggested that the postprandial suppression of ghrelin may be in part glucose-  
367 induced [36] and previous research that exposed participants to 7 h hypoxia observed higher glucose  
368 and suppressed acylated ghrelin concentrations in hypoxia than normoxia [48]. However, glucose  
369 concentrations in the current study were suppressed in the hypoxic trials and this was concomitant  
370 with suppressed acylated ghrelin concentrations and another study found hyperglycaemia of 11  
371 mmol.L<sup>-1</sup> did not affect ghrelin concentrations [39]. Other research has suggested that insulin is an

372 important physiological and dynamic modulator of ghrelin [36,38], although insulin did not differ  
373 between hypoxia and normoxia conditions in the current study. These data suggest that the array of  
374 other hormones released after eating may be involved in the observed postprandial ghrelin response  
375 in hypoxia [30].

376           GLP-1 concentrations were unaffected by short exposure to hypoxia combined with exercise.  
377 To the authors' knowledge, only one previous study has investigated the response of GLP-1 to  
378 hypoxia [42]. In that study, fasting concentrations of GLP-1 did not differ compared to normoxia  
379 following overnight exposure to a simulated altitude of 4,100 m, while there was a tendency for GLP-  
380 1 to be higher 40 min postmeal. This might suggest that hypoxia does not influence GLP-1 in the  
381 absence of feeding. Research into the effects of hypoxia on PYY is also limited, although Wasse et al  
382 [48] observed a tendency for higher total PYY concentrations in normoxia compared to 7 h hypoxic  
383 exposure. However, the current study observed higher total PYY concentrations in trials where HIIE  
384 was performed in hypoxia compared to when HIIE was performed in normoxia. However, these  
385 differences in PYY concentrations were not accompanied by changes in perceived appetite and more  
386 research is needed to establish if PYY is important in high altitude anorexia. A limitation of these  
387 studies, though, is that total PYY was measured and not concentrations of PYY<sub>3-36</sub>, which is the form  
388 of PYY that is more potent in suppressing hunger [11]. However, total PYY and PYY<sub>3-36</sub> are highly  
389 correlated [44] and changes in total PYY are thus likely to reflect changes in PYY<sub>3-36</sub>.

390           There is convincing evidence that exercise at  $\geq 60\% \dot{V}O_{2max}$  causes acute suppressions in  
391 appetite [15]. Given the recent rise in popularity of HIIE in the media and scientific literature, several  
392 recent studies have compared appetite responses of this mode of exercise to traditional moderate-  
393 intensity endurance-based exercise [1,13,14,32,41]. The current study did not observe suppressed  
394 appetite in response to submaximal HIIE compared to continuous MIE, which has similarly been  
395 reported in studies using overweight and obese participants [32,41]. Alkahtani et al [1] also observed  
396 no differences in appetite perceptions following HIIE compared with moderate-intensity interval  
397 exercise in overweight and obese males. However, the current data is not in agreement with



398 previous research in healthy males that did observe suppressed appetite in HIIE compared with  
399 continuous MIE [14,51]. One study in healthy males reported increased appetite sensations  
400 following HIIE [13], but this exercise protocol was supramaximal and might suggest there is an  
401 exercise intensity threshold above which appetite is increased post-exercise. However, another  
402 study employing a supramaximal HIIE protocol did not observe any differences in appetite  
403 perceptions compared with submaximal HIIE or continuous MIE [41] and this theory thus requires  
404 further investigation. Nonetheless, an important observation in the literature that the current study  
405 supports is that traditional endurance based exercise does not elicit reduced appetite compared to  
406 submaximal HIIE [15].

407           There were no differences in appetite perceptions, acylated ghrelin, or GLP-1  
408 concentrations between HIIE and MIE for any trial period. However, total PYY concentrations during  
409 exercise were higher in HIIE than MIE when exercising under hypoxic conditions. Although research  
410 exploring the effects of HIIE on appetite-regulating hormones is limited, higher mean plasma PYY<sub>3-36</sub>  
411 concentrations were recently reported following submaximal HIIE than continuous MIE [14]. Greater  
412 increases in PYY<sub>3-36</sub> concentrations were also observed following 30 min of high intensity continuous  
413 exercise than 30 min continuous MIE [45], although these exercise sessions were not matched for  
414 energy expenditure. It is thus possible that the kinetics of PYY in blood might differ in response to  
415 different modes and intensities of exercise. The reason for PYY response to exercise is not well  
416 understood but it is known that gut hormones interact with one another and with glucose  
417 metabolism and these may be important mechanistic factors [35].

418           The current study found no difference in acylated ghrelin concentrations between HIIE  
419 and MIE. Previous research also demonstrated no difference in acylated ghrelin following  
420 submaximal HIIE compared with continuous MIE exercise in overweight men [41]. However, another  
421 study in overweight and obese participants reported decreased acylated ghrelin and increased GLP-1  
422 concentrations following both HIIE and continuous MIE, while no differences were observed for  
423 PYY<sub>3-36</sub> [32]. Different responses to HIIE versus MIE between studies may be attributable to

424 variations in protocols employed, such as exercise intensity and duration, and the participants  
425 studied. It is also important to note that it is difficult to make direct comparisons between total PYY  
426 measured in the current study with PYY<sub>3-36</sub> responses in other investigations as the conversion rate  
427 between these two forms of this hormone is unknown. Based on data from the current study, it is  
428 not possible to advise which mode of exercise (HIIE or MIE) individuals should engage in under  
429 hypoxic or normoxic trials to elicit preferable appetite responses.

430           Responses in appetite perceptions to exercise and/or hypoxia are not always concomitant  
431 with changes in appetite-regulating hormone concentrations, and vice versa [7,13,14,32,41,48]. In  
432 the current study, appetite perceptions and acylated ghrelin concentrations were suppressed in the  
433 hypoxic compared with normoxic trials. Wasse et al [48] also observed suppressed appetite  
434 perceptions and acylated ghrelin following hypoxia. In other studies, appetite was suppressed  
435 following high-intensity exercise without changes in appetite-regulating hormone concentrations [7],  
436 while on the contrary, gut hormone concentrations have been affected without associated changes  
437 in appetite perceptions [14,32]. This emphasises the complex nature of appetite regulation that  
438 comprises a range of both neuroendocrine and psychological factors [17,34,41] and responses  
439 observed may be dependent on the nature of exposure to exercise (e.g. intensity, mode, duration)  
440 and/or hypoxia.

441           The current study presents both strengths and limitations. The main strength is the  
442 crossover design and the measurement of an array of appetite-related variables (subjective feelings  
443 and plasma levels of several appetite-related hormones). The findings of the current study are  
444 limited by the population sample as participants were all healthy young males. Although previous  
445 research suggests similar appetite responses in lean and overweight individuals [46], further studies  
446 in overweight and obese individuals are warranted to inform the design of effective weight  
447 management interventions. Although the HIIE and MIE trials in the current study were matched for  
448 average intensity (70%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) based on data from  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  testing, this was not confirmed during  
449 the trials as a measure of oxygen consumption was not taken. Another limitation is that it could not

450 be determined whether the observed responses in appetite and acylated ghrelin result in reduced  
451 energy intake as participants were provided standardised meals throughout the study. However, the  
452 purpose of a fixed-size meal was to distinguish the effects of food intake and of exercise and altitude  
453 conditions on objective and subjective measures of appetite. Furthermore, carbohydrate and  
454 protein content of a breakfast meal could alter ventilatory and metabolic responses to exercise in  
455 hypoxia [10]. Since the breakfast meal in the current study is high in carbohydrate and low in protein  
456 the findings may be limited to high-carbohydrate breakfasts only. The breakfast and lunch meals  
457 provided were also relatively low in fat compared to realistic conditions and this limits application of  
458 the findings to meals with higher fat content. The absence of a control condition for hypoxia and  
459 exercise conditions is also a limitation, but this would have meant a total of six trials per participant,  
460 which we believe would have been too substantial. Although symptoms of nausea were assessed,  
461 other symptoms of acute mountain sickness (AMS) such as headache, fatigue, and dizziness were  
462 not. Although Wasse et al [48] reported no significant correlations between AMS scores and appetite  
463 perceptions during rest and exercise, it is possible symptoms other than nausea could have  
464 influenced appetite perceptions in the current study. Lastly, it could not be determined if hypoxia or  
465 exercise affected water intake, or whether water intake was related to appetite perceptions or gut  
466 hormone concentrations, as no measure was taken.

467 In conclusion, short exposure to normobaric hypoxia whilst performing exercise causes  
468 suppressions in appetite and circulating plasma acylated ghrelin concentrations. Furthermore,  
469 appetite responses to exercise do not appear to be influenced by exercise modality (interval versus  
470 continuous). Further research is needed to establish the chronic effects of hypoxia on appetite  
471 regulation and whether there are differences in appetite following repeated bouts of HIIE versus  
472 continuous MIE.

473

#### 474 **Acknowledgements**

475 This study was funded by the University of Bedfordshire Research Investment Programme.

476 **References**

- 477 1. Alkahtani, SA, Byrne, NM, Hills, AP, King, NA (2014) Acute interval exercise intensity does not  
478 affect appetite and nutrient preferences in overweight and obese males. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*  
479 23:232-238
- 480 2. Ariyasu, H, Takaya, K, Tagami, T, Ogawa, Y, Hosoda, K, Akamizu, T et al. (2001) Stomach is a major  
481 source of circulating ghrelin, and feeding state determines plasma ghrelin-like  
482 immunoreactivity levels in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:4753-4758
- 483 3. Banks, WA, Tschop, M, Robinson, SM, Heiman, ML (2002) Extent and direction of ghrelin transport  
484 across the blood-brain barrier is determined by its unique primary structure. *J Pharmacol*  
485 *Exp Ther* 302:822-827
- 486 4. Bartlett, JD, Close, GL, MacLaren, DP, Gregson, W, Drust, B, Morton, JP (2011) High-intensity  
487 interval running is perceived to be more enjoyable than moderate-intensity continuous  
488 exercise: implications for exercise adherence. *J Sports Sci* 29:547-553
- 489 5. Bigaard, J, Frederiksen, K, Tjonneland, A, Thomsen, BL, Overvad, K, Heitmann, BL et al. (2004)  
490 Body fat and fat-free mass and all-cause mortality. *Obes Res* 12:1042-1049
- 491 6. Borg, GA (1982) Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 14:377-381
- 492 7. Burns, SF, Broom, DR, Miyashita, M, Mundy, C, Stensel, DJ (2007) A single session of treadmill  
493 running has no effect on plasma total ghrelin concentrations. *J Sports Sci* 25:635-642
- 494 8. Canoy, D, Boekholdt, SM, Wareham, N, Luben, R, Welch, A, Bingham, S et al. (2007) Body fat  
495 distribution and risk of coronary heart disease in men and women in the European  
496 Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition in Norfolk cohort: a population-based  
497 prospective study. *Circulation* 116:2933-2943
- 498 9. Catenacci, VA, Wyatt, HR (2007) The role of physical activity in producing and maintaining weight  
499 loss. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab* 3:518-529

- 500 10. Charlot, K, Pichon, A, Richalet, JP, Chapelot, D (2013) Effects of a high-carbohydrate versus high-  
501 protein meal on acute responses to hypoxia at rest and exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 113:691-  
502 702
- 503 11. Chelikani, PK, Haver, AC, Reidelberger, RD (2004) Comparison of the inhibitory effects of PYY(3-  
504 36) and PYY(1-36) on gastric emptying in rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*  
505 287:R1064-1070
- 506 12. Debevec, T, Simpson, EJ, Macdonald, IA, Eiken, O, Mekjavic, IB (2014) Exercise training during  
507 normobaric hypoxic confinement does not alter hormonal appetite regulation. *PLoS One*  
508 9:e98874
- 509 13. Deighton, K, Barry, R, Connon, CE, Stensel, DJ (2013) Appetite, gut hormone and energy intake  
510 responses to low volume sprint interval and traditional endurance exercise. *Eur J Appl*  
511 *Physiol* 113:1147-1156
- 512 14. Deighton, K, Karra, E, Batterham, RL, Stensel, DJ (2013) Appetite, energy intake, and PYY3-36  
513 responses to energy-matched continuous exercise and submaximal high-intensity exercise.  
514 *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 38:947-952
- 515 15. Deighton, K, Stensel, DJ (2014) Creating an acute energy deficit without stimulating  
516 compensatory increases in appetite: is there an optimal exercise protocol? *Proc Nutr Soc*  
517 73:352-358
- 518 16. Dill, DB, Costill, DL (1974) Calculation of percentage changes in volumes of blood, plasma, and  
519 red cells in dehydration. *J Appl Physiol* 37:247-248
- 520 17. Evero, N, Hackett, LC, Clark, RD, Phelan, S, Hagobian, TA (2012) Aerobic exercise reduces  
521 neuronal responses in food reward brain regions. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 112:1612-1619
- 522 18. Faul, F, Erdfelder, E, Lang, AG, Buchner, A (2007) G\*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis  
523 program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods* 39:175-191

- 524 19. Flint, A, Raben, A, Blundell, JE, Astrup, A (2000) Reproducibility, power and validity of visual  
525 analogue scales in assessment of appetite sensations in single test meal studies. *Int J Obes*  
526 *Relat Metab Disord* 24:38-48
- 527 20. Gibala, MJ, Little, JP, Macdonald, MJ, Hawley, JA (2012) Physiological adaptations to low-volume,  
528 high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol* 590:1077-1084
- 529 21. Goodyear, S, Arasaradnam, RP, Quraishi, N, Mottershead, M, Nwokolo, CU (2010) Acylated and  
530 des acyl ghrelin in human portal and systemic circulations. *Mol Biol Rep* 37:3697-3701
- 531 22. Grafen, G, Hails, R. (2002). *Modern statistics for the life sciences*. New York, USA: Oxford  
532 University Press.
- 533 23. Hommel, G (1988) A stagewise rejective multiple test procedure based on a modified Bonferroni  
534 test. *Biometrika* 75:383-386
- 535 24. Hosoda, H, Doi, K, Nagaya, N, Okumura, H, Nakagawa, E, Enomoto, M et al. (2004) Optimum  
536 collection and storage conditions for ghrelin measurements: octanoyl modification of ghrelin  
537 is rapidly hydrolyzed to desacyl ghrelin in blood samples. *Clin Chem* 50:1077-1080
- 538 25. Kalsou, NS, Hext, F, Davies, AJ, Chan, CW, Wright, AD, Imray, CH (2010) Do changes in gastro-  
539 intestinal blood flow explain high-altitude anorexia? *Eur J Clin Invest* 40:735-741
- 540 26. Kayser, B, Verges, S (2013) Hypoxia, energy balance and obesity: from pathophysiological  
541 mechanisms to new treatment strategies. *Obes Rev* 14:579-592
- 542 27. Kelly, KR, Williamson, DL, Fealy, CE, Kriz, DA, Krishnan, RK, Huang, H et al. (2010) Acute altitude-  
543 induced hypoxia suppresses plasma glucose and leptin in healthy humans. *Metabolism*  
544 59:200-205
- 545 28. Kessler, HS, Sisson, SB, Short, KR (2012) The potential for high-intensity interval training to  
546 reduce cardiometabolic disease risk. *Sports Med* 42:489-509
- 547 29. King, NA, Burley, VJ, Blundell, JE (1994) Exercise-induced suppression of appetite: effects on food  
548 intake and implications for energy balance. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 48:715-724

- 549 30. Koliaki, C, Kokkinos, A, Tentolouris, N, Katsilambros, N (2010) The effect of ingested  
550 macronutrients on postprandial ghrelin response: a critical review of existing literature data.  
551 Int J Pept 2010:
- 552 31. Loshbaugh, JE, Loeppky, JA, Greene, ER (2006) Effects of acute hypobaric hypoxia on resting and  
553 postprandial superior mesenteric artery blood flow. High Alt Med Biol 7:47-53
- 554 32. Martins, C, Stensvold, D, Finlayson, G, Holst, J, Wisloff, U, Kulseng, B et al. (2014) Effect of  
555 moderate- and high-intensity acute exercise on appetite in obese individuals. Med Sci Sports  
556 Exerc (Epub ahead of print):
- 557 33. Mifflin, MD, St Jeor, ST, Hill, LA, Scott, BJ, Daugherty, SA, Koh, YO (1990) A new predictive  
558 equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy individuals. Am J Clin Nutr 51:241-247
- 559 34. Morton, GJ, Cummings, DE, Baskin, DG, Barsh, GS, Schwartz, MW (2006) Central nervous system  
560 control of food intake and body weight. Nature 443:289-295
- 561 35. Murphy, KG, Bloom, SR (2006) Gut hormones and the regulation of energy homeostasis. Nature  
562 444:854-859
- 563 36. Nakagawa, E, Nagaya, N, Okumura, H, Enomoto, M, Oya, H, Ono, F et al. (2002) Hyperglycaemia  
564 suppresses the secretion of ghrelin, a novel growth-hormone-releasing peptide: responses  
565 to the intravenous and oral administration of glucose. Clin Sci (Lond) 103:325-328
- 566 37. Ross, R, Dagnone, D, Jones, PJ, Smith, H, Paddags, A, Hudson, R et al. (2000) Reduction in obesity  
567 and related comorbid conditions after diet-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight  
568 loss in men. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 133:92-103
- 569 38. Saad, MF, Bernaba, B, Hwu, CM, Jinagouda, S, Fahmi, S, Kogosov, E et al. (2002) Insulin regulates  
570 plasma ghrelin concentration. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 87:3997-4000
- 571 39. Schaller, G, Schmidt, A, Pleiner, J, Woloszczuk, W, Wolzt, M, Luger, A (2003) Plasma ghrelin  
572 concentrations are not regulated by glucose or insulin: a double-blind, placebo-controlled  
573 crossover clamp study. Diabetes 52:16-20


- 574 40. Schubert, MM, Sabapathy, S, Leveritt, M, Desbrow, B (2014) Acute exercise and hormones  
575 related to appetite regulation: a meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 44:387-403
- 576 41. Sim, AY, Wallman, KE, Fairchild, TJ, Guelfi, KJ (2014) High-intensity intermittent exercise  
577 attenuates ad-libitum energy intake. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 38:417-422
- 578 42. Snyder, EM, Carr, RD, Deacon, CF, Johnson, BD (2008) Overnight hypoxic exposure and glucagon-  
579 like peptide-1 and leptin levels in humans. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 33:929-935
- 580 43. Stubbs, RJ, Hughes, DA, Johnstone, AM, Rowley, E, Reid, C, Elia, M et al. (2000) The use of visual  
581 analogue scales to assess motivation to eat in human subjects: a review of their reliability  
582 and validity with an evaluation of new hand-held computerized systems for temporal  
583 tracking of appetite ratings. *Br J Nutr* 84:405-415
- 584 44. Tsilchorozidou, T, Batterham, RL, Conway, GS (2008) Metformin increases fasting plasma peptide  
585 tyrosine tyrosine (PYY) in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS). *Clin Endocrinol*  
586 (Oxf) 69:936-942
- 587 45. Ueda, SY, Yoshikawa, T, Katsura, Y, Usui, T, Fujimoto, S (2009) Comparable effects of moderate  
588 intensity exercise on changes in anorectic gut hormone levels and energy intake to high  
589 intensity exercise. *J Endocrinol* 203:357-364
- 590 46. Ueda, SY, Yoshikawa, T, Katsura, Y, Usui, T, Nakao, H, Fujimoto, S (2009) Changes in gut hormone  
591 levels and negative energy balance during aerobic exercise in obese young males. *J*  
592 *Endocrinol* 201:151-159
- 593 47. Vandenbogaerde, TJ, Hopkins, WG (2010) Monitoring acute effects on athletic performance with  
594 mixed linear modeling. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 42:1339-1344
- 595 48. Wasse, LK, Sunderland, C, King, JA, Batterham, RL, Stensel, DJ (2012) Influence of rest and  
596 exercise at a simulated altitude of 4,000 m on appetite, energy intake, and plasma  
597 concentrations of acylated ghrelin and peptide YY. *J Appl Physiol* 112:552-559
- 598 49. West, BT, Welch, KB, Galecki, AT. (2006). *Linear mixed models: A practical guide using statistical*  
599 *software*. London: Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.



- 600 50. Westerterp-Plantenga, MS, Westerterp, KR, Rubbens, M, Verwegen, CR, Richelet, JP, Gardette, B  
601 (1999) Appetite at "high altitude" [Operation Everest III (Comex-'97)]: a simulated ascent of  
602 Mount Everest. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 87:391-399
- 603 51. Williams, CB, Zelt, JG, Castellani, LN, Little, JP, Jung, ME, Wright, DC et al. (2013) Changes in  
604 mechanisms proposed to mediate fat loss following an acute bout of high-intensity interval  
605 and endurance exercise. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 38:1236-1244
- 606 52. Wolff, CB (2007) Normal cardiac output, oxygen delivery and oxygen extraction. *Adv Exp Med*  
607 *Biol* 599:169-182
- 608
- 609

610 Figure 1


611 Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the study protocol.



612

613 Figure 2

614 Fig. 2. Changes in perceptions of (A) hunger, (B) satisfaction, (C) fullness, and (D) prospective food  
615 consumption during moderate-intensity exercise (MIE)-normoxia, MIE-hypoxia, high-intensity  
616 interval exercise (HIIE)-normoxia, and HIIE-hypoxia. Values are means  $\pm$  SE;  $n = 12$ . Some error bars  
617 have been omitted for clarity. *Black rectangle* indicates standardised breakfast, *open rectangle*  
618 indicates treadmill exercise and hypoxia (or normoxia), *downward arrow* indicates standardised  
619 lunch meal.




620

621 Figure 3

622 Fig. 3. Changes in plasma concentrations of (A) acylated ghrelin, (B) total PYY, and (C) GLP-1 during  
623 moderate-intensity exercise (MIE)-normoxia, MIE-hypoxia, high-intensity interval exercise (HIIE)-  
624 normoxia, and HIIE-hypoxia. Values are means  $\pm$  SE;  $n = 12$ . Some error bars have been omitted for  
625 clarity. *Black rectangle* indicates standardised breakfast, *open rectangle* indicates treadmill exercise  
626 and hypoxia (or normoxia), *downward arrow* indicates standardised lunch meal.

627



628

629 Figure 4

630 Fig. 4. Changes in plasma concentrations of (A) glucose and (B) insulin during moderate-intensity  
631 exercise (MIE)-normoxia, MIE-hypoxia, high-intensity interval exercise (HIIE)-normoxia, and HIIE-  
632 hypoxia. Values are means  $\pm$  SE;  $n = 12$ . Some error bars have been omitted for clarity. *Black*  
633 *rectangle* indicates standardised breakfast, *open rectangle* indicates treadmill exercise and hypoxia  
634 (or normoxia), *downward arrow* indicates standardised lunch meal.

635




Table 1: Area under the curve values for appetite perceptions

	During exercise (0 to 0.8 h)	Post-exercise (0.8 to 2.6 h)	Total trial (0 to 2.6 h)
<b>Hunger</b>			
Hypoxia	25 (4)	67 (6)	91 (9)
Normoxia	32 (4)*	77 (6)*	109 (9)*
HIIE	29 (4)	74 (6)	102 (9)
MIE	28 (4)	71 (6)	99 (9)
<b>Satisfaction</b>			
Hypoxia	47 (4)	96 (6)	143 (9)
Normoxia	39 (4)*	83 (6)*	122 (9)*
HIIE	43 (4)	89 (6)	132 (9)
MIE	43 (4)	90 (6)	133 (9)
<b>Fullness</b>			
Hypoxia	46 (4)	91 (6)	136 (9)
Normoxia	40 (4)	83 (6)*	122 (9)*
HIIE	42 (4)	87 (6)	129 (9)
MIE	42 (4)	87 (6)	129 (9)
<b>PFC</b>			
Hypoxia	32 (4)	82 (7)	115 (10)
Normoxia	42 (4)*	95 (7)*	137 (10)*
HIIE	37 (4)	89 (7)	126 (10)
MIE	38 (4)	88 (7)	126 (10)
<b>Overall appetite</b>			
Hypoxia	33 (3)	78 (5)	111 (8)
Normoxia	40 (3)*	86 (5)	127 (8)*
HIIE	37 (3)	81 (5)	118 (8)
MIE	37 (3)	83 (5)	120 (8)

Values are mean (SE),  $N = 12$

HIIE high-intensity interval exercise; MIE moderate-intensity exercise; PFC prospective food consumption

\*significant difference hypoxia vs. normoxia ( $p < 0.05$ )

Table 2: Area under the curve values for gut hormone, insulin, and glucose concentrations

	During exercise (0 to 0.8 h)	Post-exercise (0.8 to 2.6 h)	Total trial (0 to 2.6 h)
Acylated ghrelin (pg/mL)			
Hypoxia	41 (12)	129 (20)	171 (45)
Normoxia	43 (13)	148 (35)*	191 (52)*
HIIE	40 (13)	133 (32)	173 (49)
MIE	45 (12)	144 (32)	189 (48)
Peptide YY (pg/mL)			
Hypoxia	106 (14)	215 (23)	321 (30)
Normoxia	106 (16)	213 (21)	319 (30)
HIIE	110 (17)	212 (20)	322 (29)
MIE	103 (14)	215 (25)	318 (31)
GLP-1 (pmol/L)			
Hypoxia	42 (6)	102 (12)	145 (18)
Normoxia	41 (6)	100 (12)	141 (18)
HIIE	42 (6)	104 (13)	145 (18)
MIE	42 (5)	98 (11)	140 (17)
Glucose (mmol/L)			
Hypoxia	4.2 (0.1)	10.1 (0.2)	14.3 (0.3)
Normoxia	4.3 (0.1)	10.5 (0.2)*	14.7 (0.3)
HIIE	4.3 (0.1)	10.4 (0.2)	14.6 (0.3)
MIE	4.2 (0.2)	10.1 (0.2)	14.4 (0.3)
Insulin ( $\mu$ U/mL)			
Hypoxia	9 (1)	27 (5)	35 (5)
Normoxia	11 (2)	30 (4)	41 (5)
HIIE	10 (2)	30 (5)	40 (5)
MIE	9 (2)	27 (5)	36 (5)

Values are mean (SE),  $N = 12$

HIIE high-intensity interval exercise; MIE moderate-intensity exercise; GLP-1 glucagon-like peptide-1

\*significant difference hypoxia vs. normoxia ( $p < 0.05$ )

Altitude x exercise interaction effect for PYY during exercise ( $p = 0.042$ )

